

# WORT & BEER FILTERABILITY: GAUGE & MODELING

## Predictive Tests: Malt

**LT<sub>m</sub>** is a very quick whole kernel assessment.

**Teptral** procedure to predict lautering not well thought of in Australia!!!

### BRI "Mash Filtration" Device/Test.

**[Coaguable protein]** has limited predictive value for beer filterability.

Correlation of **Kolbach Index** with barley/ malt modification: wort viscosity (.68)...wort **beta-glucan** (.57)...FAN (.77)...beta glucanase (.71).

Good correlation between filtration performance and LT<sub>m</sub> values....positive slope and correlation between Malt LT<sub>m</sub> (y-axis) and V<sub>max</sub> in Congress worts (x-axis) is 0.9693!!!!

**Malt friability**....positive correlates. with germinability, malt extract yield, and S/T.... **negative correlation** with grain size, raw grain [protein], **viscosity and β-glucan content** of Congress worts....mapping loci for these QTLs.

**"Light Transflectance Meter"**... reports LT<sub>m</sub> values....light diffuses within a mealy endosperm and appears dark, while it is transflected out of a steely endosperm and appears light. ...a LT<sub>m</sub> low value/slope = "mealy" endosperm structure... = more homogeneous modification....LT<sub>m</sub> assesses whole kernel, providing a quick assessment of the homogeneity of modification.

**Evan Evans, GRDC**..."dogma" is that KI/viscosity/beta-glucan predict lautering performance....however these are only part of the story, with other compounds, including gels, small starch granules, other CHO (e.g. **arabinoxylans**) involved."

**Raible's filterability filtration test**...treat wort with ethanol/citric acid/32°F....predicts production filterability...typically found **POLYSACCHARIDES** to be the main reason for slow filtration (after removing adsorbed material from DE) from either malt (typically), yeast (occasionally) or bacteria (rarely). Each source has characteristic **"saccharin fingerprint "** after hydrolysis and HPLC analysis.

## Filtration Tests: Wort & Beer

The membrane filterability of beer is normally reported as the maximum volume of beer that can be filtered through a given area of membrane (V<sub>max</sub>) and the initial flow rate (Q<sub>init</sub>).

**"EsserTest"**...predictive test for beer filterability...test beer pressured at 2 bar through a 0.2 μm membrane filter with the filtrate volume indicating relative filterability.

**Schwarz:** ....for **viscosity** uses **Brookfield Rotational Viscometer**....for **filterability**, uses **Esser Test**. Key finds: a) dextrin most important driver of viscosity; b) arabinoxylans, especially their MW not [ ], most important driver of filterability; c) viscosity a lousy predictor of filterability.

**Darcy Permeability Index**....a darcy is the permeability of a filter aid of 1 cm thickness passing a volume of 1 ml fluid with a viscosity of 1 mPa through a 1 cm<sup>2</sup> of surface at a pressure of 1 bar in 1 second.

Higher V<sub>max</sub> values indicate better run-offs.

## Beer Filterability

**Speers et al and Beer Membrane Filtration:**  
 a) higher MW beta-glucans lowers (V<sub>max</sub>) and the initial flow rate (Q<sub>init</sub>)  
 b) shearing beer lowers (V<sub>max</sub>) and the initial flow rate (Q<sub>init</sub>)...temperature independent  
 c) between pH 3.8 and 4.6, higher pHs improved filterability  
 d) adding 5-10% ethanol decreases Q<sub>init</sub>, but increases V<sub>max</sub>....however if haze present, added ethanol lowers V<sub>max</sub>....ergo filtration problems with higher ethanol streams worsened by elevated malt-originating CHOs.

**Kriesz:**  
**main sources of polysaccharides and protein** impacting filterability of wort and beer comes from either malt, yeast or bacteria. Describes five new methods to assay:  
 a) assaying wort to predict beer filterability,  
 b) ID polysaccharides after filtration by releasing from DE,  
 c) a method to estimate the risk of beta-glucan formation via intensive shearing of wort & beer,  
 d) a step control to ID the process risks for the formation of beta-glucan gels, and  
 e) method to ID haze particles in filtered beer by use of specific enzymes in combo with haze measurement & staining.

Li, Lu, Gu & Mao: used CADE to optimize breakdown of arabinoxylan during mashing with the following variables: [endoxyylanase], pH and [Ca<sup>++</sup>]. Optimum degradation occurred at 38 U/g, pH 5.5 and 114 ppm of Ca<sup>++</sup>. With these levels polymeric arabinoxylan in a 60:40 malt:wheat mash....saw decrease from 650 ppm (no enzyme) to 220 ppm – a drop of 66%.

Newport Scientific "RVA Super 3" rapid viscoanalyser: measures changes in malt viscosity in lab mashes

**NDSU Filterability Modeling:**  
 a) 0% by dextrin  
 b) **40% by arabinoxylans**  
 c) 3% by beta-glucan  
 d) 57% by other variables.

**NDSU Viscosity Modeling:**  
 a) **70% by dextrin**  
 b) 7% by arabinoxylans  
 c) 3% by beta-glucan  
 d) 20% by other variables.

**Kriesz:**  
 a) malt beta glucan/friability/viscosity correlate with risk of formation of beta-glucan gels,  
 b) malt polysaccharides (alpha-glucan, beta-glucan & pentosans) drive wort filterability,  
 c) yeast can cause up to 2 EBC units of non-filterable haze....this type can be measured by the use of specific enzymes in combo with haze measurement & staining.

$$\text{"Filtration Capacity"} = \text{hL/m}^2 \times \text{h}^{-1}$$

## Modeling

## Modeling

**ASBC No. XXXI**  
 (Casey;  
 May/06)